

Village of North Fond du Lac
OFFICIAL MINUTES- Meeting of April 5, 2010
COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

PRESENT: James Moore, Village President
Mike Streetar, Trustee
Mike Will, Trustee
Jim Scharf, Trustee
Keith King, Trustee

OTHERS: Tammy Keller
Aaron Sadoff
Stephanie Hicks
Zachary Cooper

STAFF PRESENT:

Charles Hornung, Village Administrator
Nick Leonard, Director of Engineering and Planning
William Lamb, Chief of Police
Paul Nelson, Fire/EMS Chief
Donna Richards, Village Clerk

Chairman: STREETAR

Meeting was called to order by Chairman STREETAR at 6 PM.

A. ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS.

1. Discussion of Ordinance O-2010-03, AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING TERRITORY TO THE VILLAGE OF NORTH FOND DU LAC (Well House on Creek Vu Drive) – Second Reading.
Mr. Leonard shared that this again is a piece of the property needed for Well #5, currently in the Town of Friendship. Part of two parcels we will be acquiring. Annexation needed prior to approval and purchase.
CONSENSUS to take it to the Board.
2. Discussion of Resolution R-04-2010 supporting the City of Fond du Lac’s opposition to the revisions to NR 217, regulating effluent standards and limitations for phosphorus.
Mr. Hornung shared that new standards are being pursued to regulate phosphorus. It is a question of when this will happen, and it will be of great expense. Many municipalities are asking that this be delayed to hope for less expensive approaches being developed. Mr. Leonard clarified that this relates to point source pollution sources, not storm water. The costs are estimated to be as high as \$20 million, but that is not finalized. That would be spread across the 13 OSG members over time. The regulations were not on the radar screen at the time the new treatment plant was designed and built; therefore, these costs were not anticipated. This resolution will allow the City of Fond du Lac to speak for the Outlying Sewer Group as it asks for consideration in the implementation of the new rules, timeframes and requirements.
CONSENSUS to take it to the Board.
3. Discussion of request to waive permit fees for the North Fond du Lac School District athletic field project.
Mr. Hornung said he received a letter from Aaron Sadoff, Superintendent of Schools, asking that we give consideration related to permits for the new Athletic Field improvements. Mr. Sadoff spoke about the development, which includes the new Baseball/softball complex. The athletic field will always be the Art Humphrey Field for football and track, and the whole area is to be called the Oriole Athletic Complex. (Naming rights may come later.) The Engineering and Design firm has been meeting with Nick and Pete and I about the project, including wastewater, engineering and other code and permit issues. Various construction costs like the bleacher costs and others determine the permit costs. The money for permits that will be incurred (not clear yet) would come out of school taxes or from the Village. He asks that fees be waived in the process of building the new athletic complex, as this will enhance the school district as well as the whole Village.
(Options and staff recommendations are in the staff report.)
Numbers are estimates at this time, according to Mr. Hornung.
Mr. Will expressed that he feels that we have a great working relationship with the schools, and anything we can waive that can be absorbed internally, he is all for. However, he feels that costs we will incur out of pocket should not be absorbed. Some of the school taxes are paid by Friendship residents. Mr. Scharf concurred with this approach from Mr. Will. Mr. Moore asked what are internal and external services are. Mr. Leonard said some parts of the project are not done in-house, and the storm water plan review can be very expensive, will be a \$2,000 cost. Electrical inspections are journeymen licensed, so we have Les Steiber do that for us at \$50 a trip with 4 or 5 trips. The other permits (building permits \$500 plus \$2,000, and \$250 for inspection by our staff, is internal. Costs relate to cost of the bleachers, about \$230,000. Our fees are based on cost ranges. These would be our costs based on estimates. The \$4,200 would be our normal permit fee cost. Mr. King says they have been working hard, have low

interest loans, and will make the Village better. Mr. Will says he is considering the fact that our staff is on furloughs, and he doesn't want this to happen again. We work well with the schools and we pay 70% of the cost of the School Resource Officer. Mr. King says we pay 50%, but that is 50% of salary, not the total cost of the officer. So, he disagrees. Mr. Sadoff said that the COWs (temporary cellular towers placed on school property) are now finally gone. He would appreciate any consideration the Village can provide. Mr. Moore is wondering how the motion will be worded. External, internal, or both absorbed by the Village. They are thinking we would absorb the internal costs, as per option 2.

CONSENSUS to take it to the Board.

4. Discussion of status of Pool Committee's efforts to reach goals and plan for the future. Mr. Hornung shared his report, and that the Pool Committee has been working to get some funds raised and costs reduced. They have achieved something short of the \$25,000 goal. They had a Brat Fry and a will have a Rummage Sale. Mr. Hornung wants to recognize the reality here. They are hoping to raise revenues to get improvements to the pool to attract more paying customers over time, a more family friendly area. We will continue to meet to come up with plans and enhancements. Is this a direction the Board wants us to proceed with? Mr. Scharf wants to commend the pool committee for their work on this. Fundraisers are organized, and we have until August to see how the funds are coming along. We need more fundraisers to make the pool work better. He wants to keep the pool. Mr. Will agrees that people really care about the pool, as a quality of life issue. We should try to continue on the track we are going. Mr. Moore agreed to go for Option 2, to support the work of the Pool Committee and see how it goes. Mr. King also agrees. The Brat Fry was great; the support has been wonderful for this effort. Our previous \$2,300 donation might go towards an enhancement fund, rather than operations. We haven't determined that yet. Mr. Streetar agrees that Option 2 is good, and we want the committee to continue to work on this. We should do what we can to increase efficiencies and revenues.

CONSENSUS to take it to the Board.

- B. **CITIZENS TO BE HEARD.** None.

Future Possible Agenda Items can be discussed under Unfinished Business and New Business. No action will be taken at this meeting on discussions under the next two items, and discussion should be greatly limited until placed on the agenda:

- C. **UNFINISHED BUSINESS.** None.
- D. **NEW BUSINESS.** None.
- E. **CLOSING OF MEETING.** Meeting closed at 6:20 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Streetar, Trustee